

MOBIUS ACCESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
July 22, 2003
Agenda

1. Introductions
2. Cluster Reports
3. Load-Balancing Table Review
4. Lending/Borrowing Statistics and Lenders of Last Resort Review
5. Discussion/Development of MOBIUS Reconciliation Process
 - a. OHIOLink process
 - b. Merlin proposal
 - c. Others?
6. Lanter Delivery Codes - Scott Britton
7. Pick-up Anywhere Report?
8. Bookbands Approval of Current Drafts
9. Other Business
10. Next meeting?

hanks, Jim. I will add it. -- Sharon

Sharon Upchurch
Library Director
Culver-Stockton College Library
#1 College Hill
Canton, Missouri 63435

>>> [DuttonJ at UMSYSTEM.EDU](mailto:DuttonJ@UMSYSTEM.EDU) 07/17/2003 3:55:44 PM >>>

Sharon,

I had a question from last week's MCDAC meeting about how the Springfield-Greene loans are to be counted on the MOBIUS statistics. I told them I would have it added to the MAAC agenda.

Thanks,
Jim

I just had a cycle of emails with Janine at MCO. We were trying to figure out if there was a problem with a Lanter delivery code. I think SWBAP- Salem should have a unique all-caps code to be consistent with the other libraries. Janine also mentioned that a library wanted to change their code because it got confused with the State Library (maybe State Fair??).

So, I'd like to have a review of the codes at the next MAAC meeting. For preparation, please ask your clusters if they want to change their codes or have problems with other codes. You can send responses to me or save them for the meeting.

I hate to make many changes and cause more confusion, but if there are currently problems, let's fix them.

The list of codes is on the MCO site at:

<http://mco.mobius.missouri.edu/filemanager/fileview/1363/>

Thanks,

--

Scott Britton

Head of Access

Washington University Libraries

Campus Box 1061

314 935-8235 (voice)

1 Brookings Drive

314 935-4045 (fax)

St. Louis, MO 63130

Scott-Britton@library.wustl.edu

OHIOLINK process for reconcilliation

Go to:

<http://www.ohiolink.edu/ostaff/circ/innreachchecklist.html#overdue>
for current policies and procedures.

When we started out years ago, we did a process of exchanging books and billing for the difference. In other words, if the Univ. of Cincinnati owed 5 books to Ohio State and Ohio State owed 3 books to Univ. of Cincinnati, then Ohio State would bill the Univ of Cincinnati for 2 books (at \$100 a piece). We would only do this reconciliation for books that had been lost or billed for more than 2 years. The general thinking being that if the item was going to show up again, then it would show up within the two year period.

Well as we added more libraries to the mix, this process became more and more complicated. So, about 3 years ago we recommended to our directors that we should still do the reconciliation (in order to clean up records), but we would no longer go through the invoicing and exchanging money. Everyone would just eat the cost of their missing and billed material. This has made the process much easier to deal with. Keep in mind that our lost and billed items are less than 1% of our total requests handled. So, it is a relatively small number.

This is working out quite well for us. Once and awhile a library is bothered by the fact that they are not being reimbursed for lost books, but when we explain the big picture to them, they seem to come along.

Let me know if you have other questions.

Anita Cook
OhioLINK