ILS Coordinators Meeting
       LSO, Columbia
    3/26/97

   Minutes

Present: Nancy Stancel(UMKC-Law), Janet McKinney(UMKC-Law), Vianne
Sha(UMC-Law), John Huang(SLU-Pius), Richard Amelung(SLU-Law), Brenda
Dingley(UMKC), Robin Kespohl(UMC), Gary Harris(LSO), George
Rickerson(LSO), Janet Jackson(LSO), Amy Arnott(UMSL), Dean Schmidt(LSO),
Bob Heyer-Gray(UMR).

Please post any and all corrections to the list.
---

1. LSO Reports

-Sort By Volume Number
 Gary has been working with Rob Hawks at III to get this
functioning. III says it's ready to run. Gary reports that since the
menu option to sort by volume number has been added, the option to update
bib & loc (something we need to be able to perform) has been removed.
Gary will give us an update when/if this is resolved. The daily
rearrange is still being run.

-UMR Autographics
 248 test records have been loaded without holdings and suppressed
from public display. A review file has been created (Review File
#101, entitled AUTOGRAPHIC test records) so that we may take a look at
them. George says they shouldn't require an extensive going over, but
that we might be looking for MARC anomalies. We are still waiting for
corrected records from Autographics, since this test batch had some
duplicate 1xx fields and each record contained an 099 call # field. We
should let either George or Vivian know if we find problems with the
records. There currently is no timeline for an actual load of records,
in any case, it will not be this fiscal year.

-SLU Gap Tapes
 The tapes are in Vivian's office, Kurt will split Law, Pius and
Parks. There are 105,000 bibliographic and 18,000 authority records to be
loaded. Brenda asked whether is might be possible to avoid having SLU
load records attach to UMKC brief bib records. Looks like an
unavoidable problem.

-WebPac Scoping
 Scoping still a problem. SLU-HSC still not scoping properly. III
thinks that it is perhaps the length of the URL that is causing the
problem. The problem has been turned over to programming at III.

-Barcode Validation

Tom Jacobson says III is ready to turn on barcode validation.
George will notify via e-mail when it's turned on. SLU patron
barcodes still have pattern recognition problems. SLU has already been
dealing and working around the pattern recognition problem.

-Loads
 MU recon load ready and probably the next to be done after the
SLU Gap load. No good news for the GPO load. We do not have a
usable load profile, nor do we have a load profile that III is willing
to modify. It appears another load profile needs to be purchased.
George will look into expediting.

-930s
 The rest of the 930s will be loaded after the MU recon load. The
reason for the delay in loading is a lack of inactive checkin records.
More inactive checkins have been purchased, which should be enough for
the remaining 930 loads.

5. Management of active/inactive checkin records

A. Active
 UMKC-Law has no available active checkin records. They believe they
will require 5000 more. The original allocation of 51,000 active checkin
records was as follows:
24,000 MU
3,000 MU-Law
8,000 UMKC
3,000 UMKC-Law
6,000 UMR
7,000 UMSL

SLU purchased 24,000 separately.

 Each active checkin record costs $1.00. George proposed to the Library
Directors that if the purchase of checkin records is to be funded through
LSO that standards will be needed for how they are to be used. An
alternative plan would allow each unit to purchase its own checkin records.
 There is still concern about how and when checkins would be used in the
latter scenario. The Directors opted to have active checkins funded by LSO
and asked that the Coordinators draft a standard. Brenda suggested that
MASCC be given the charge for writing the standards with the input of MRSC
as well due to some of the potential public service issues. George agreed
draft the issues/concerns with regards to active checkins for MASCC.
Brenda will add this to 4/2 MASCC agenda and MASCC
will work on drafting a standard. The standard will then be run past MILSCC.
Robin made an offer UMKC-Law couldn't refuse...a temporary reallocation
of checkins from MU to UMKC-Law. George said he would ask III whether a
reallocation were possible and if so how easily.

B. Inactive

 Norma and Robin have been charged with writing a standard for inactive
checkins. There is a union scope problem that will need to be settled...

If one unit decides not use a checkin, when a user is scoped to all locations,
the library that chose not to use a checkin will not have their holdings
displayed. The problem of inactive checkins will be added to the MASCC
list of issues and they will work with Robin & Norma in writing a
standard. Janet said she will call III about a Release 11 Enhancement
that will allow libraries to display their holdings fields as separate
fields as a potential answer to this problem.

3. Cataloging Workstation Report

 Gary distributed an evaluation, listing strengths and limitations,
of the catalogers workstation. Highlights include: a price tag of $11,500 for
a site license, easy transfer/attaching of records, windows printing not
III printing and the ability to edit spine labels. The catalogers
workstation is not under consideration for this fiscal year. We do have
the option of a 30 day trial of the workstation. Everbody gave their
thumbs up for the purchase of the workstation.

 Related catalogers' notes: Paperwork has been sent ot OCLC for the
uploading of records from MERLIN to OCLC. During interactive loading
duplicate records are still appearing. Perhaps a problem with the Bib
and/or Bib/Order loader?

3. Outstanding Problems List for the LSO Web Page

 Richard's Introduction to the "Outstanding Issues" and Robin's draft
memo to the Committee Charis were accepted with only minor wording changes.

 Gary will post a preliminary problem list to the LSO Web site.
[Click on the big button labelled ISSUES]

4. Enhancement Process

 It was agreed that the enhancement process discussed at the last ILS
Coordinators meeting would begin next year. SLU-Law, UMKC, UMKC-Law and
UMC-Law each have separate IUG memberships.

 The Enhancement Process (Thanks to Robin who was willing to share her
notes!):

MERLIN Enhancement process: Top 2 enhancements from each Committee bo be
submitted to Coordinators by March 15, 1998. No process has been
established for this year. Urgent requests will be considered by
Coordinators if submitted by Committees.

 IUG Enhancement process:

LSO to send to each Library the IUG enhancement document, as soon as
received. Libraries with their own membership are to notify list when
their copy comes in case their is a problem with LSO reciept of documents.

Libraries with separate IUG membership to vote as instructed (top 5 in each module)

Each library (including libraries with sep membership) to submit to RK by May 2nd, ranked from 1-15, entire list. Discussion at each library, not ILS Committees because of time constraints.

RK will combine the votes and top 5 in each module will be sent to LSO for submission to IUG by May 9th.

6. IUG Reports

Gary, Janet, Bob, John, Richard, Robin, Brenda, Vianne all gave brief summaries of what was gained at the IUG meeting in Oakland.

Next Meeting scheduled for 4/16. (Possibly 4/17)

Meeting broke early so that everone could attend Dean's farewll bash.

Hochachtungsvoll,

Bob Heyer-Gray