

MCDRSC
September 20, 2011
Telepresence

Present:

UMKC: Nancy Morgan, Steve Alleman, Chair

MST: Maggie Trish

UMSL: Jan Peach, Tim Nelson, Frances Piesbergen

MU - Judy Maseles, Rhonda Whithaus (recorder), Cindy Bassett (recorder)

LSO: Abbie Brown, Terry Austin, Susan McCormack

I. New member

Frances Piesbergen is replacing Lindsay Schmitz as a representative for UMSL. Terry reminded them that they need to update the information with MCO.

II. Availability of Minutes

There was a question on the location of minutes. They can be found on the MCDRSC page on MCO's site.

<http://mobiusconsortium.org/node/306>

Rhonda will get the final minutes for the April meeting posted.

III. MERLIN Search Limits

MCDRSC voted via email on MQCC proposals on search limits. Steve sent Felicity our agreement to the recommendations. She will report to MCO that the limits can be implemented.

IV. LSO Reports

A. Budget for FY12

We were "saved" last year from cuts. LSO is currently spending as quickly as they can so funds cannot be swept. MCDRSC did agree to move forward with cancelling LexisNexis Statistical and Annual Reviews Economics for approximately \$30,000 in savings. This went towards paying some of the inflationary costs. What little money we have left will be used to prepay for Scopus.

B. Budget for FY13

Terry has not received news on possible cuts required. Inflation is expected to be 3-5%. Terry is not asking us to create a cut list since she doesn't have any knowledge of a need to cut. There may not be enough money to prepay Scopus, however.

C. Collection Development Policy

The Collection Development Policy was submitted to the Directors in April, but they have not discussed it yet. They will do so at the next meeting. Once approved, Terry will post it to the MERLIN Gateway.

D. Summon Renewal

The final year renewal is scheduled for the fall. Terry asked if it is time to look at other discovery tools since there are now other products available (Ex Libris, III and Ebsco) and Summon cannot be considered sole source. There could be savings if all participating campuses go together. UMSL is currently exploring funding options for a discovery tool. UMKC has a committee currently reviewing Summon and looking at other products. Each campus will check locally to see if we have optional 2-year renewal and if we want LSO to set up demos.

E. LSO Update

LSO was disbanded. Now called Library Systems Operations. They are currently doing transitional/cross-training. Abbie is working on negotiations and trials. Terry is working with the MST and UMSL electronic resources.

V. Scopus Renewal

Scopus renews in March 2012 and is a multi-year contract. The Elsevier representative is going on leave at the end of the year and they want to work out a deal before she leaves. A new fee schedule is needed. LSO negotiated a multi-year deal with price increases of 3% for FY12 and FY13 and 5% for FY14. Total cost for 3 years is \$705,824.00 and 11.39% overall increase. In comparison, Web of Science quote would cost over \$500,000 to get caught up. Multi-year contracts require committee approval. Abbie will send details (email sent 9/22/11) and vote is needed by Oct. 14, 2011.

VI. Portico

MU, UMKC and MST are Portico members and UMSL plans to join in 2012. LSO is negotiating for the 4 campuses and will bill back the costs. Portico is adding an e-book archival service. If add this service in the first year, we will be eligible for a 25% discount ("founder discount") in succeeding years. Each campus can decide if it wants just the e-journal service, the e-book service or both. It is not required that all 4 campuses

select the same option. Abbie will send details (email sent 9/22/11) and response is needed by Oct. 14, 2011.

VII. Statistics for FY11

Statistics reports are not ready yet. There were some anomalies that had to be investigated. EbscoHost database statistics were quite high due to MU's use of a search box on the main webpage that searched all EbscoHost databases. Also issue with TRD in that MST linked to individual databases but LSO was only getting statistics for TRD. Those other database statistics have been added.

Terry reviewed the return on investment (ROI). There has been a bit of a decline (FY10 - for every \$1 spent, got \$1.90 back, FY09 - for every \$1 spent, got \$1.96). This could possibly be due to amount of money available to spend (e.g., less money to spend means less savings). This is calculated based on list price of campuses paying on their own and what LSO paid.

VIII. Review of Resources

Do we have what we need and do we need what we have? Is it time to cut some things and get different things? We canceled Annual Reviews Economics, so should we cancel the full Annual Reviews Collection? When reviewed this earlier in the year, the Annual Reviews titles were available in Academic Search Premier. Jan reports that now those titles are embargoed in Academic Search Complete. Diane did the original review of availability and we'll ask her to look into this again.

IX. Collection Analysis Tool

Per the Collection Development Policy that is pending approval by the Directors, MCDRSC is to create a tool to analyze the portfolio. We need to pull together accurate information on the number of students and faculty across campuses, look at research money, etc. We need to be able to find consistent data across the campuses. It was agreed that a Task Force would be assigned with 1 representative from each campus. Tim Nelson (UMSL) will chair. The Task Force will investigate what data we can get and how/if we can use the data. The Task Force is to have preliminary recommendations to MCDRSC by the end of the calendar year. Steve Alleman (UMKC) and Maggie Trish

(MST) will also serve on the task force. MU will appoint someone to serve.

- X. Cooperative Collection Development Projects
Discussion of patron driven acquisitions. UMKC and MU are currently exploring projects separately. MST is talking about PDA but has no current plans to pursue. Do others want to work together? This can be very complicated. UMKC has money set aside (approximately \$100,000) for the project. After reviewing title usage, MU canceled ebrary Academic Complete subscription to develop a pilot PDA project. We need to keep catalog considerations in mind and how this will work with other campuses. There were concerns expressed about the confusion users on other campuses would have when seeing these records but not having access. This is a problem we have now, but this will increase it. As the campuses move forward with these PDA projects, they will keep MCDRSC updated on the issues.

As representative to the MOBIUS Collection Management Advisory Committee, Terry asked about our interests in the different vendors. UMKC and MU looking at working through Yankee, not Ebsco, for the projects.

- XI. Other Reference Issues
Steve asked if there are any issues on the reference front that the committee needs to consider. If so, let Steve know. Rhonda will continue to work on the catalog redesign project.
- XII. Coordination of Print Approval Plans
Is there interest in trying to coordinate print approval plans for cooperative collection development now that all campuses are using Yankee? MST reports that they don't really have approval plans. MU, UMSL and UMKC are interested in discussing. We will discuss further in the spring.
- XIII. UMLD Policy
Maggie provided an update on the work of the task force on the UMLD Policy. The draft has been shared with the campuses by their task force representatives. Lots of comments were received and the task force will revise the draft and share with the committee. They should have the policy ready for the Directors before the end of the

year. We will vote by email when the draft is ready.

XIV. Next meeting and future issues

Future issues: ACS updates (large price increase), the collection analysis tool and the AIP multi-year renewal

Next meeting will be scheduled for the end of January - specific date TBD. UMKC will take minutes.