

BRIDGES CATALOGING COMMITTEE MEETING

April 9, 2002

The Committee met at Covenant Theological Seminary. Denise Pakala, Committee Chair presided.

Attending:

Denise Pakala, Covenant Theological Seminary
Kathy Nystrom, Eden-Webster Library
Harvey Inman, Eden-Webster Library
Jean Rose, Logan College of Chiropractic
Linda Orzel, Harris-Stowe State College
Marty Knorr, Harris-Stowe State College
Judy Moore, Lindenwood University
June Williams, Fontbonne College
William Toombs, Kenrick-Glennon Seminary
Lisa Schulz, Maryville University
Mary Ann Mercante, Maryville University

Committee Organizational Questions

Per Almquist of Covenant Seminary, chair of the Site-Coordination group, joined the meeting for this discussion. After discussion concerning the roles of the Site Coordinators and the Cataloging Committee, the following consensus was reached:

The Cataloging Committee makes decisions directly related to cataloging, even if it affects display, if the display is the required result of cataloging rules and standards (e.g. the change of the general material designator “computer files” to “electronic resources”)

The Site Coordinators make decisions concerning display issues not specifically related to Cataloging. The Cataloging Committee may discuss such issues. Depending on the issue, the Committee may take a formal vote and send a formal recommendation to the Site Coordinators with a report of the vote tally or the Committee may discuss without voting and have an informal recommendation (i.e. a report of the discussion) go to the Site Coordinators.

INN-REACH Enhancement Proposals

The Committee discussed the INN-Reach Enhancement Proposals dealing with the Catalog (i.e. the MOBIUS Union Catalog) The Committee categorized the Enhancements as:

A 1 is an enhancement we would like to see as a top priority
A 2 is an enhancement which would be nice if III has the time
A 3 is an enhancement we don't care about

A listing of the Enhancements can be found as an attachment to these Minutes. The enhancements were categorized as:

<u>Enhancement #</u>	<u>Priority Rating</u>
27	1
26	3
14	1
44	2
46	1
45	2
18	3

Enhancement Request #47 was taken care of in the recent fix to the 856 display problems.

GUICAT Record Copy

Denise reported that our request for a change to the variable fields displayed when the GUICAT Copy Record icon is used for item records is still at III awaiting implementation.

Diacritics

Diacritics are still not mapping correctly. There appears to be something wrong with the Bridges mapping tables. MCO is working with III on this problem.

970 subfield f

The request to start indexing the 970 subfield f in the author index will be send to the Site Coordinators as a final recommendation. Since this is a display issue not directly relating to cataloging, this committee makes recommendations rather than decisions. It was generally agreed that any discussions concerning indexing should go to the Site Coordinators for final decision.

Bridges Cataloging Committee Documents Folder

Denise reminded attendees of the Bridges Cataloging Committee Documents Folder which can be reached from the MCO Home Page by going to Clusters, then Bridges, then Cataloging Committee.

Upcoming MCDAC Meeting

Mary Ann reported that the next MOBIUS Catalog Design Advisory Committee meeting is on Friday, April 20. There are three topics relating to cataloging concerns on the Agenda.

856 Display Issues: Currently, only subfield z displays in the 856 tag in the MOBIUS Union Catalog. Should we continue with subfield z only, or should the display be subfield z and subfield u, or subfield u only? Covenant, Eden-Webster, Logan, Lindenwood, and Fontbonne are in favor of displaying subfield z and u; Maryville is in favor of displaying subfield z only; Harris-Stowe, Kenrick, and Missouri Baptist abstained courteously.

Marcive Proposal: Marcive has offered to insert a subfield z with the text: 'Freely available online' into 856 tags on govdoc records it supplies to MOBIUS members. MCO has offered, on a one-time basis, to do the same for existing govdoc records in the cluster catalogs. Catalogers in MOBIUS libraries would then be responsible for inserting this subfield z text into 856 tags on any subsequent govdoc records they catalog. The Bridges Cataloging Committee favors accepting this proposal.

Error Reporting Form: Robin Kespohl distributed a copy of the error reporting form used by OHIOLINK libraries to report errors in their Union Catalog. Errors can only be corrected by the library (or in our case, the cluster) which contributed the record to the database. Mary Ann distributed a draft form modified for MOBIUS use. The general consensus of the Committee is that we would like to see this project pursued. A question was raised as to what happens if you don't want to make a change reported to you. There is no "force" behind the report; i.e. if you don't feel that there is an error, you are not obligated to fix it.

Two suggestions for the form were made by Bridges Committee members:

--After the "Owning Library" line, to add a parenthetical reminder (*remember, if the library is in your cluster, you can fix it*)

--Under the "Reported by:" heading, to add a line for *Today's Date*

Acode Report From Chris Gould

Denise reminded everyone about Christopher Gould's revised ACODES and Authority info document. She reminded everyone that the dash is no longer valid in ACODE2 and that after downloading an authority record we need to code the ACODE2 field.

GMD Changes

Kathy Nystrom reported that she had completed the change of the GMD computer file to electronic resource for the Bridges Cluster. She did not make the change for maps.

Public Displays for 246s

Denise reported that the old problem in the WebPac of the captions not matching the 246 indicators appears to have been fixed.

Keyword Search Retrieval List Problem

In a simple keyword search, when clicking on a title in the results list, there were occasions when another record from the list was displayed instead of the one that should have displayed. This is supposed to be fixed now, so we should report any continued occurrences of this problem.

Two other problems were brought up for people to watch for:

- Doing the s: search appears to be retrieving Children's Subject Headings at times.
- Repeating a word search using the same word appears to get different results

650 2 with subfield x for Audiocassettes or subfield x for Videocassettes

In working with the Partial Match reports, Denise has come across many which are partial matches because they contain a subfield x for Audiocassette or for Videocassette. This is not an acceptable LC Subject Heading subdivision. After discussing the reasons libraries had for using these subdivisions and possible alternatives, it was agreed by consensus that Denise would let a library know of the situation when she came across these headings.

New Itype for DVD-ROM

Itype 61 has now been defined for DVD-ROM

240 Display in the Author Index

When one does a search on an author with works which have a uniform title, the display

in the author index does not appear to work well. Harvey Inman distributed sample search results illustrating the problem. It appears that in the Author Index, the results are sorted by the 240 title, but the 245 title displays with the author's name, not the 240. This throws off the alphabetization of the list, making it difficult to use. With the subject and the series indexes, the display seems to work well as illustrated by the Zweig, Stefan example in the author index.

Several members of the Committee remembered that there had been discussion of display issues relating to the 240/245 during the profiling meetings, but no one could remember the details of why the decision was made to index/display as Bridges currently does. Committee members agreed by consensus to check with their Site Coordinators to see why the 240/245 was profiled as it is.

Date Display In Serial Records

The Committee discussed the problems with the Date Display in search results list for serials which were discovered by Mary Ann and Jean. The date in the display can only be numeric characters, so the hyphen at the end of an open entry does not display. As a result, a serial appears to be a single year publication in the list. Additionally, when there are two dates (opening and closing dates) for a serial which has ceased, the search results display only shows the 2nd date.

MCO has reported that these displays are hard-coded in the III software and will require an Enhancement Request to change. MCO suggested not using a 260 subfield c in bib records for serials as a way to work around the problem. After discussion, the Catalog Committee agreed by consensus that dropping the subfield c in the 260 tag was not a solution we would recommend since it violated current cataloging rules and reflected a work-around for a specific vendor's system weakness which might cause difficulties in future years if systems were to be changed.

700 Subfield 4

Denise reminded Committee members that the 700 subfield 4 is a legitimate subfield and should not be deleted.

INTERNET Resources – Shared Item Location

Mary Ann reported that Maryville had learned from MCO that it was possible to establish an item location code that could scope to more than one of our libraries. This could be used for freely available INTERNET resources. Currently, if one of us discovers a free INTERNET resource, we can create an item, serial checkin, or acq order record and get the bib to display within our scope. Every library that wants to have this bib display within its scope has to do likewise. Having a shared item location code for freely available INTERNET resources would mean that once any one of the libraries sharing the code created an item record, the bib would appear within the scopes of all the

libraries sharing the code. If anyone is interested in sharing such a code with Maryville, they should contact Mary Ann.

Adjournment and Next Meeting

At 3:00, Denise announced that the remaining Agenda items which could not be discussed due to time constraints would be placed first on the Agenda for the next meeting. The date and time for the next meeting was set for May 14 from 1:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m. at Covenant.